Single Bench

00003

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4217 /2004

PETITIONERS : 1. Deonarayan aged 45 years son of Bhagbali resident of village Sargaon Tahsil Mungeli Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

2. Rikhiram aged 46 years son of Bhagirathi resident of village Sargaon Tahsil Mungeli Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh through Principal Secretary Public Works Department National Highway D. K. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

2. Executive Engineer P.W.D. National Highway Division Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. Sub Divisional Officer P.W.D. National Highway Sub Division Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS , CERTIORARI , QUO WARRANTO , PROHIBITION AND / OR ANY OTHER WRIT / ORDER / DIRECTION ON THE SAME NATURE IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE

(71)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATISGARH : BILASPUR

Single Bench : Satish K. Agnihotri, J

WRIT PETITION NO. 193 of 2004

Ved Ram and another

Vs

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1918 of 2004

Ram Singh

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1921 of 2004

Joyedha Ram

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 1928 of 2004

Sadhu Ram and others

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION NO. 3334 of 2004

Chhat Ram Patle

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and another

WRIT PETITION NO. 4217 of 2004

Deonarayan and another

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh and others.

72

Present : Mr. Ashok Kumar Shukla, Mr. F.S.Khare and Mr. Kamal Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Koshy, learned Deputy Advocate General with Mr. Vivek Sharma and Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyers for the State of Chhattisgarh.

ORDER
(Passed on 20th September 2005)

The petitioners in this batch of writ petitions are working as permanent Gangmen in the Public Works Department of the State Government. Accordingly, this batch of writ petitions is being disposed of by a common order.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that present petitions are squarely covered by the order passed by this Court on 15.09.2005 in Writ Petition No. 93 of 2005 and other connected matters.

Mr. Koshy, learned Deputy Advocate General with Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer appearing for the State agree with the submission of the petitioners.

Accordingly, this batch of writ petitions stand allowed in terms of order dated 15.09.2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 93 of 2005 and other connected matters.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge